

## Unit 01 : Science, Nonscience, Pseudoscience

### I. What is science?

- A. one definition
  - observation
  - identification
  - description
  - experimental investigation
  - theoretical explanation
    - of natural phenomena
- B. another definition

“The whole of science is nothing more than a refinement of everyday thinking.”  
Albert Einstein

### II. The goals of science

- A. to discover order in the natural world  
(i.e., what makes the Universe tick?)
- B. to make predictions about what should be expected to occur  
(a test of your understanding)

### III. Assumptions underlying science

- A. Principle of Common Perception
  - 1. we perceive with our basic senses
    - a. visual
    - b. auditory
    - c. olfactory
    - d. taste
    - e. tactile
    - f. other
  - 2. what we perceive represents [the existence of] an objective reality
- B. Principle of Uniformity
  - 1. objective reality
    - a. obeys certain basic principles & natural laws
    - b. which remain consistent through time and space
  - 2. fundamental natural laws do not change capriciously
- C. Principle of Natural Causality
  - 1. every event has an explainable natural cause
  - 2. every event, in turn, will cause other events
- D. conclusion
  - using our powers of observation, manipulation, and reason
  - we can discover basic principles and natural laws which govern the Universe

#### IV. Limitations of science

- A. can investigate / explain natural phenomena
- B. not applicable to
  - 1. supernatural phenomena
  - 2. aesthetic, moral, ethical dilemmas
- C. falsifiable hypotheses are required
  - 1. if the hypothesis is false, it must be capable of being shown to be false
  - 2. alternative (negative) meaning of falsification
    - a. data fabrication
    - b. data manipulation
    - c. other forms of intellectual dishonesty

#### V. Falsifiability

- A. science can never 'prove' that something is true
  - only demonstrate that an idea is false (disprove)
- B. onion model
  - truth may reside in what remains after 'false' is peeled away
- C. analogies
  - 1. look to the law
    - a. defendants are found to be guilty or not guilty
    - b. innocence is much harder, perhaps impossible, to prove
  - 2. look to product safety
    - a. products are deemed to be unsafe or not unsafe
      - only a few observations of danger are necessary
    - b. products can never be deemed absolutely safe
- D. example
  - 1. test new drug on every human on the planet
    - even with no harmful effects, you still not have proven it safe
  - 2. the next individual born might succumb to negative side-effects
  - 3. weigh potential benefits against potential risks

## VI. Hallmarks of pseudoscience

- A. relationship between science and pseudoscience is highly suspect
  - 1. attempt to use scientific knowledge to bolster claims  
claims often violate known scientific principles
  - 2. scientific jargon is favored over the scientific method  
"The hallmark of pseudoscience is new terminology."
- B. pseudoscience does not advance / progress
  - 1. good science should be predictive and permit progress
  - 2. pseudoscience is often built upon older, untested claims
- C. a feature shared with nonscience
  - 1. dogmatic approach to knowledge  
characterized by uncritical belief
  - 2. response to disagreement or contradictory evidence  
hostility, paranoia, ad hominem attack
- D. tendency to ignore or distort contradictory evidence  
confirmation bias - the only evidence sought or brought to light confirms a claim
- E. tends toward claims which are not readily testable or refutable by scientific means
  - 1. subjective
  - 2. invoke supernatural causes
- F. data of questionable validity are frequently accepted as proof
  - 1. claims based on
    - a. ancient manuscripts
    - b. dubious observations
  - 2. which cannot be independently verified
- G. reliance on anecdotal evidence
  - 1. preferable approach
    - a. systematic observations
    - b. controlled experiments
  - 2. example :  
photographs captured via hidden cameras and tripwires  
versus  
anecdotal reports of Bigfoot sightings
- H. fragile phenomena
  - 1. disappear when subjected to
    - a. controlled conditions / properly-controlled experimentation
    - b. nonbelievers (negative energy)
  - 2. shift the burden of proof
    - a. nonbelievers cannot perceive the 'signals'
    - b. cameras and bright lights disrupt the phenomenon

I. unsolved mysteries are intriguing to everyone

1. scientific approach

a. generate explanatory / predictive hypotheses

b. test these hypotheses

2. pseudoscientific approach

mysteries are explanations in and of themselves

3. example :

Nostradamus 'foretold' of so many events in such general terms that, sooner or later, some event will seem to have been predicted

logical fallacy : The Fallacy of Positive Instances

simple coincidence is disregarded

ignoring all of the false predictions never hurts either

J. pseudoscience

1. explanation by construction of scenario after the facts are known

2. stagnation of thought

a. lack of scientific prediction

b. lack of accumulation of new knowledge

VII. Good Science

A. testable

B. falsifiable hypotheses

C. verifiable / repeatable

D. progressive

E. predictive

F. tentative truths

## VIII. Scientific method

- A. There is no single scientific method
  - no single step-by-step recipe followed by scientists
  - rather, a rigorous process by which new ideas are put to the test
- B. classical scientific method
  - nonscientists tend to perceive a linear sequence of steps that must be followed
  - scientists recognize that steps are cyclical ... start anywhere in the sequence
  - 1. observe (carefully)
    - a. keep good field or lab notes
    - b. keep data backed-up (physical and digital backups)
    - c. store in multiple, safe locations
  - 2. hypothesize
    - a. create explanation for your observations that is
      - i. testable
      - ii. tentative
      - iii. causal
    - b. Ockham's Razor (also spelled Occam's Razor)
      - i. William of Ockham (ca. 1288 - ca. 1348)
      - ii. Principle of parsimony [Bertrand Russell]
      - iii. If one can explain a phenomenon without assuming some hypothetical entity, then there is no ground for assuming it.
      - iv. the best among competing explanations explains all observations, with fewest unwarranted assumptions.
  - 3. test hypothesis
    - a. via
      - i. properly-controlled physical experiment
      - ii. thought experiment
      - iii. computer simulation
      - iv. etc.
    - b. manipulation is required
  - 4. draw conclusions based on experimental data / results
    - a. were predictions (based upon your hypothesis) realized?
    - b. if the preponderance evidence
      - i. refutes hypothesis, then hypothesis is false
        - reject hypothesis
      - ii. supports hypothesis, then fail to reject hypothesis
        - "accept" hypothesis as tentatively true
      - iii. if hypothesis is neither rejected nor failed to be rejected
        - revise the hypothesis
        - retest hypothesis (repeat experiment - never reuse data)

5. publish results
  - a. media
    - i. traditionally : print-media publication
    - ii. increasing number of online publishing options
  - b. manuscript is submitted to an appropriate journal
    - i. subject matter should closely match your research
    - ii. send manuscript to editor
    - iii. editor selects 2-5 anonymous reviewers (referees)
    - iv. author(s), affiliation(s), or acknowledgements stripped from manuscript  
why?
  - c. referees
    - i. expert in closely-related field
    - ii. critique manuscript and recommend to the editor
      - a) publish as-is
      - b) publish with modification
      - c) reject
    - iii. editor notifies you of decision
    - iv. referees remain anonymous
    - v. comments / critiques are provided to you
  - d. peer-review
    - i. disadvantages
      - slow - delay of months before publication
    - ii. advantages
      - a) likely to spot flaws in data analysis, logic
      - b) likely to detect hidden biases
6. example
  - a. a new teaching method, artificial expert system (AES), is proposed
    - i. hypothesis : AES superior to standard lecture-response method (SLR)
    - ii. test : split class into male and female subclasses
      - a) females taught by AES method
      - b) males taught by SLR
      - c) compare class averages when semester ends
      - d) females exhibit higher class average
    - iii. what conclusion do you draw? why?  
females are brighter than males? AES is superior to SLR?  
gender, teaching method are confounded  
we cannot tell which, if either, is REALLY responsible
    - iv. how could we do this better?
      - a) randomly assign students to teaching method  
what other factors might affect the outcome?  
age? socioeconomic status?  
quality of high school education?  
professor's teaching style?
      - b) pre-testing / post-testing